2:07-cv-15490-GCS-SDP Doc # 16 Filed 02/02/10 Pg 1 of 2 Pg ID 1003

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| DTT. | T 70 | A T T | T 7 T T | т 1 | г . | N TC |
|------|------|--------------|----------------|-----|-----|------|
| DEV | N() | IN 1 | ∧ II | | A | MS. |

| eti | | |
|-----|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

v. CASE NO. 2:07-CV-15490 HONORABLE GEORGE CARAM STEEH

MARY BERGHUIS,

| Respondent. | |
|-------------|---|
| | / |
| | |

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY AND FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL

Petitioner has filed motions for a certificate of appealability and for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal regarding the denial of his petition for a writ of habeas corpus. The Court, however, denied a certificate of appealability and denied leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal in its opinion and order denying the habeas petition. The Court finds no reason to reconsider that decision. A motion for reconsideration which presents issues already ruled upon by the court, either expressly or by reasonable implication, will not be granted. *See Hence v. Smith*, 49 F. Supp. 2d 547, 550 (E.D. Mich. 1999); *Czajkowski v. Tindall & Assoc.*, *P.C.*, 967 F. Supp. 951, 952 (E.D. Mich. 1997). Petitioner has not met his burden of showing a palpable defect by which the Court has been misled or his burden of showing that a different disposition must result from a correction thereof, as required by Local Rule 7.1(g)(3).

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Petitioner's motions.

Dated: February 2, 2010

S/George Caram Steeh
GEORGE CARAM STEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2:07-cv-15490-GCS-SDP Doc # 16 Filed 02/02/10 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 1004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on February 2, 2010, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Josephine Chaffee Deputy Clerk